Design for Manufacturing and Assembly: Literature Review

AMEGGOUZ Saad[1*] , *EL BARKANY* Abdellah [1] , and *JAI* Otman[2]

[1]Research Laboratory in Science and Engineering FST Fès, Morocco
[2]Laboratory of industrial technologies and services EST Fès, Morocco

Abstract. Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA) maximizes product design to help manufacturing and assembly, thereby lowering costs and raising quality. Its productivity is improved by incorporating artificial intelligence with digital tools (3D CAD, additive manufacturing, digital twins). By means of eco-design, DFMA also helps to optimize resources and reduce garbage. Still, there are difficulties including acceptance by SMEs, tolerance management, and sophisticated technology costs. Digital system interoperability, smart automation, and material innovation will define DFMA going forward. This literature review presents the current state of DFMA, its application with technologies, its challenges and future prospects, and the influence of the evolution of materials innovation on future DFMA applications.

Keywords: DFMA, Additive Manufacturing, Digital Tools.

1 Introduction

Aiming at concurrently optimizing product design to enable production and assembly, Design for Production and Assembly (DFMA) is a methodological approach. In the early stage of development, the integration of these ideas helps to save costs, raise quality, and speed product time-to-market [1].Studies on this subject reveal that DFMA techniques are extensively used for basic mechanical goods under great focus on the detailed design phase [1]. Furthermore, the most often utilized instruments for applying DFMA in sophisticated digital contexts are spreadsheets and software applications [2].Recent research in the framework of enhancing industrial practices has investigated the mix of DFMA with other design techniques. For instance, including Design for Safety (DFS) improves product manufacturing and guarantees their safety during usage [3]. A main methodological difficulty is the formalization and classification of DFMA regulations. Developed to enable the automatic use of DFMA in computer-aided design (CAD) environments is a structured approach based on geometric features and manufacturing techniques [4].

Optimizing assemblies is much aided by the change of product architectures. Particularly, the acceptance of modular design ideas helps to reduce product complexity and encourages

* Corresponding author: saad.ameggouz@usmba.ac.ma

© The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

component reuse inside a flexible production environment [5]. Especially in the automotive and aerospace sectors, the application of DFMA ideas to deform-able components provides a strategic challenge [6]. Moreover, a technique including cloud manufacturing has been created to improve inter-company cooperation in design and assembly techniques [7].By considering both industrialization requirements and customer expectations, the combination of DFMA with Quality Function Deployment (QFD) is a technique meant to maximize product design [8]. A strategic method to increase design efficiency, lower costs, and guarantee higher product dependability over their lifetime is integrating DFMA with Design for Reliability (DFR) [9]. This strategy emphasizes the need to concurrently include industrialization re-strictions and dependability criteria to produce better-performance goods.

Optimizing the design process still depends much on integrating DFMA with 3D CAD technologies. To predict manufacturing problems and lower design phase costs, a recent work suggests a way to connect DFMA rules to the geometric aspects of 3D models [10]. Improving decision-making in design and manufacturing by means of an innovative technique combining knowledge management into a collaborative management system is suggested by a research project carried out in association with a big aerospace firm [11]. Including this approach in current DFMA databases could thus improve the adaptability and flexibility of industrial systems in response to fresh production needs. While underlining the difficulties that still exist, all these contributions show the important part DFMA plays in enhancing design and production techniques. With numerous sections, this literature review seeks to examine these features. Section 2 shows the approach used in choosing and evaluating the investigated documents. Section 3 investigates main DFMA devices and methodologies currently in use, highlighting their effectiveness and potential shortcomings, and discusses future directions and innovations in DFMA, emphasizing the need for ongoing research to address emerging challenges in the manufacturing, and future view-points on the acceptance of DFMA in a shifting industrial environment. Section 4 ends this review by aggregating the major contributions and pointing up areas needing more study and adaptability of industrial systems in response to new production requirements.

2 Methodology

Using a three-step methodological approach with each phase playing a vital part in the research process, this literature evaluation was carried out.

2.1 Objectives and Research Questions

Defining the research axes and goals that organize the analysis comes first in this part. Examining present trends, issues, and possibilities connected to DFMA, its implementation in industry, and its interaction with other design approaches is the main goal. Many important research questions surface. First, a thorough grasp of the theoretical and practical roots of DFMA requires first a thorough investigation of the basic ideas and approaches of this method. Second, with an eye toward its effects on cost reduction, assembly simplicity, and productivity increase, we investigate how DFMA shapes the process of product development. Furthermore, included is a tool and soft-ware inventory meant for DFMA implementation to enable its industrial application. Third, we examine the trends and difficulties related to DFMA implementation—technical or organizational ones. This evaluation helps to see its interaction with other strategies from a forward-looking standpoint, optimizing design and manufacturing efficiency.

This study addresses the following research questions:

- What are the basic ideas and DFMA technologies?
- In what way might DFMA affect the process of product development?

• What trends and difficulties in industry implementation of DFMA are there?

2.2 Literature Research Process

Using a methodical literature review approach, one sought the most pertinent sources for this work. The goal was to choose top-notch mechanical engineering papers from conferences, journals, and reliable databases. The research concentrated on the following reference systems in order to guarantee thorough coverage of current developments: Scopus, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and ResearchGate. Only papers from 2016 to 2025 were taken into consideration to guarantee the relevancy of the re-search findings so that the study concentrates on recent advancements in DFMA and its industrial applications. Among the search keywords were "DFMA," "design," "manufacturing," and "assembly." To better grasp the efficacy and pragmatic use of DFMA in industrial environments, the selection process gave papers displaying thorough approaches, specific case studies, and quantitative comparisons top priority. Studies combining DFMA with digital tools and optimization strategies received particular attention since this guarantees a strong basis and offers a comprehensive and pertinent study of the main issues and future directions connected to DFMA.

2.3 Articles' Selection and Classification

To weed out pointless papers, a selection process was followed. This procedure com-prised multiple phases. Examined closely were titles, abstracts, and complete papers to make sure they related to the subjects this review covers. At this point, books unrelated directly to DFMA or with only a weak relationship to the topic were thrown out. Using a thematic approach, the chosen papers were categorized so that a structured analysis and the primary DFMA research directions could be found. Integration of digital tools and DFMA into the design stage, hence stressing technological developments that enable the application of DFMA in production and design processes. Compiling research showing the use of DFMA in several industries and product kinds, case studies, and industrial uses helps to show this. Challenges and future viewpoints of DFMA, therefore addressing the present constraints of this method and investigating possible developments and innovations.

3 Discussion and Results

By means of in-depth study of scientific publications on DFMA, one can identify main trends in DFMA within contemporary businesses, the present difficulties in its acceptance, and possible enhancements for its optimal integration into industrial processes.

3.1 Main DFMA Trends

Design Optimization and Assembly Simplification. Optimizing the design of industrial items now depends critically on Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA). DFMA helps engineers create better products by including advanced design strategies, improving their dependability and manufacturing efficiency[4], [6]. Reducing the components is one of the main DFMA strategies. For example, the Boothroyd-Dewhurst approach has shown success by lowering the number of components making up a good by 30% to 50%. Along with drastically lowering possible sources of flaws [1], [12], this simplification helps to cut assembly costs. Furthermore, improving part interchangeability by standardized interfaces and a modular approach helps manufacturing and maintenance procedures [5], [13].

Combining industrialization, resilience, and general cost concerns under multi-objective optimization guarantees a more efficient design fit for industrial needs[14], [15]. Thanks to developments in digital tools, DFMA today gains from innovative technology improving its efficiency. Including this approach in CAD tools enables early design stage predictive analysis, optimizing the development process from the first glance [10]. Moreover, artificial intelligence algorithms applied to assembly optimization can automatically produce more effective solutions, hence lowering the needed iterations before production[9]. DFMA, together with Quality Function Deployment (QFD), guarantees greater product adaptability to industrial constraints [8] and market expectations. The automobile sector is one clear illustration of DFMA's influence; this method has resulted in a 25% decrease in engine component count. This simplicity produced a 20% increase in system dependability [3] and a 30% drop in assembly costs. Such development fully shows how well DFMA can mix industrial process optimization, cost control, and technological performance.

Interoperability of Digital Tools and Collaborative Engineering. Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA) is effective depending on the interoperability of digital tools as much as on ideal design concepts. From design to manufacture, DFMA guarantees flawless communication across several development phases, thereby promoting an integrated strategy that reduces mistakes and speeds industrial processes[2], [7]. Using STEP models, which help data flow between design and manufacturing phases, is one of the main foundations of this interoperability. This standardizing helps to greatly lower errors resulting from format conversions, so guaranteeing digital continuity free from data loss [2]. Furthermore, improving traceability of changes and optimizing product evolution tracking by combining DFMA with Product Lifecycle Management (PLM) systems and Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems helps to provide a more strict management of resources and production flows[7]. Digital twins enable the simulation of assembly restrictions even prior to manufacturing starting. By spotting required changes ahead to increase product manufacturability[10], this method greatly lowers design mistakes. In the aircraft industry, these developments have shown promise already. Digital twins combined with DFMA ideas have helped to maximize aircraft wing assembly, lowering production costs by 15% and improving manufacturing lead times[16]. This case aptly shows how digital tools help to improve industrial processes constantly and raise corporate competitiveness.

DFMA and Environmental Protection. By including DFMA in an eco-design strategy, one can lower industrial waste, increase material recycling [11], [17], and improve energy economy. At the end of their lifetime, Design for Recycling (DfR) helps with component reuse and product disassembly[17]. Reversible assembly helps to separate recyclable elements, therefore lowering the environmental impact of products[17]. Moreover, using Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) to DFMA lowers CO_2 emissions [18] and maximizes resource use. Industrial Example: DFMA's use in the electronics industry has resulted in a 25% decrease in production waste, therefore facilitating the recycling of casings [18] and printed circuit boards.

Effect of Additive Manufacture and Industry 4.0. New digital technologies are revolutionizing Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA). These developments improve industrial competitiveness [19], [20] and help design and assembly processes to be optimized. This development depends much on automation in assembly operations. By means of flexible and optimal automation of assembly operations, the integration of collaborative robots guarantees higher flexibility to production fluctuations [10]. Real-time monitoring of assembly performance guaranteed by smart sensors and the Internet of Things (IoT) automatically adjusts manufacturing parameters to raise quality and lower errors [16].

Additionally upsetting established assembly techniques is additive manufacturing. Multiple components can be combined into one element using 3D printing, therefore lowering assembly costs and improving the structural dependability of products[20]. Improved resistance from advanced mate-rials created by these technologies opens the path for smaller and more intricate de-signs[16]. The adoption of additive manufacturing has caused a 40% weight loss in components in the aerospace sector, therefore improving energy economy and air-craft performance. This development shows how digital technologies affect DFMA optimization and their support of industrial competitiveness[20].

Product Design's Manufacturing Integration. Early stages of product design allow production restrictions to be integrated into industrial processes, therefore optimizing them. Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA) helps to reduce changes during production by foreseeing these restrictions, therefore lowering expensive iterations and improving general efficiency[21]. This technique depends much on the participation of production teams. Risk analyses and virtual simulations help one to find and fix assembly problems even before production starts. While lowering the danger of mistakes[21], this approach greatly increases product manufacturability. Adoption of multi-criteria design approaches helps to choose the best configurations considering production needs and assembly restrictions[22]. Evaluating product de-signs during the design stage is another important tactic since it helps to maximize assembly performance and reduces the need for post-design corrections[23]. These ideas have helped Airbus greatly enhance its production techniques in the aerospace sector. By use of modern design architecture assessment techniques, manufacturing costs have been lowered by 12%, and assembly mistakes have been reduced by 18%; hence, assemblies [3] now have more dependability and efficiency.

Additive Manufacturing's Applications to Assembly Simplification. Eliminating some assembly constraints helps additive manufacturing (AM) strategically maximize Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA). This technology improves product performance and dependability by allowing the creation of intricate pieces in one step, therefore streamlining manufacturing techniques. One of the main benefits of AM is its capacity to generate mechanisms without assembly, therefore removing machining tolerances and restrictions connected to conventional fasteners. This method greatly simplifies manufacture[24] and lowers the necessary part count. Flexible and multi-component printing lets direct integration of flexible mechanisms into the finished item possible, so minimizing the need for extra components and improving design efficiency [25]. Furthermore, improving manufacturability, assembly complexity, and production steps [26] is optimizing part breakdown for additive manufacturing. General Electric has shown in the aerospace sector the success of this technology by creating 3D-printed turbine parts. This invention has cut the 900-part count from 900 to just 12, therefore lowering manufacturing costs and greatly im-proving general performance [20].

Assembly Sequence Optimization. Improving industrial process efficiency and lowering component conflicts mostly depends on optimizing assembly lines. Using cut-ting-edge technologies helps one to reduce mistakes and maximize the effectiveness of automated systems, thereby guaranteeing a more consistent manufacturing process. Of these methods, the application of AND/OR graphs helps to maximize assembly operation sequences. Especially in highly automated environments [27], this approach greatly increases production line efficiency by lowering conflicts between components. Reducing manufacturing errors depends critically on tolerance analysis during the design stage. Anticipating dimension differences helps to limit in-process adjustments [28] and improve assembly dependability. To attain higher performance [29], concurrent design concepts—which match production processes with new manufacturing techniques like additive manufacturing—are also quite useful. In the automotive sector, these developments have been rather important. By means of optimization of assembly sequences, manufacturing time has been reduced by 20%, and assembly accuracy has been raised by 15%. These are clearly observable effects on industrial performance [10].

3.2 Challenges and Perspectives

Technical Challenges. Although DFMA brings new technologies, some technical issues still exist and need to be resolved to guarantee the best industry acceptance. Reaching more dependable assemblies, higher accuracy, and the efficient integration of new production technologies depends on these elements being improved. Tolerance management in design presents one of the main difficulties. Particularly in highly demanded industries like aerospace, where minimum error margins are needed to guarantee structural safety and dependability [28], tolerance deviations directly affect the quality and performance of assemblies. Assembly created by additive manufacturing is still another great obstacle. Printable connections remain a major problem even if additive manufacturing (AM) helps simplify assemblies and cut component count. To guarantee its long-term durability [24], 3D-printed joints must first be mechanically strong. Another major technological difficulty is the optimization of part decommissioning in additive manufacturing. Establishing cutting points and using efficient assembly techniques need for thorough investigation to maximize the quality and dependability of the created components[26]. These difficulties emphasize the requirement of an advanced methodological approach to fully use DFMA's potential and guarantee the production of progressively sustainable and efficient industrial goods by means of which.

Economic Challenges. Adoption of additive manufacturing and DFMA offers the sector great prospects but also creates substantial economic difficulties. One must carefully evaluate expenses and process optimization if one is to guarantee profitability. The first cost of additive manufacturing technology still presents one of the key challenges. While additive manufacturing (AM) offers indisputable benefits in design and assembly, the equipment acquisition and maintenance expenses might be significant. Large-scale adoption is hampered by this financial barrier, especially for small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs) [30]. Another great difficulty is optimizing manufacturing planning. Including DFMA techniques in the industry calls for a reorganization of assembly plans to improve profitability and boost production line efficiency. This change calls for teams [23] to become more skilled and for modification of cur-rent procedures. One of the big questions still is how new integrated design techniques affect manufacturing expenses. Although modular design and additive manufacturing give interesting possibilities, for many businesses [29] they also provide an eco-nomic difficulty. Companies that want to overcome these obstacles have to strike a balance between cost control and innovation, implementing customized plans that support a slow change toward these new manufacturing techniques.

Future Perspectives. Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA) is being profoundly changed by technological developments and the emergence of Industry 4.0, therefore opening fresh creative prospects. These advancements allow industrial pro-cesses to be optimized and new ideas to enhance assembly and product design to be investigated. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) for manufacturing optimization shows among the most exciting developments. Machine learning techniques allow one to examine and automatically choose the optimum assembly configurations depending on industrial restrictions, therefore lowering errors and raising manufacturing output. Apart from saving much time, this automation improves assembly dependability.

Moreover, creating new opportunities is the development of innovative materials for additive manufacturing (AM). Eventually, the research of adaptive materials could lessen or even replace the necessity of conventional mechanical fasteners. Apart from simplifying assemblies, these developments would improve the light weighting and performance of buildings[25]. Using DFMA in other sectors marks a strategic path of development. Originally applied in the aerospace and automotive industries, DFMA could find application in electronics, building, and robotics, among other are-as. More effective manufacturing techniques, catered to the particular difficulties of every sector[23], will be brought by this diversity. Combining artificial intelligence, sophisticated materials, and the diversification of industrial uses, these new trends underline the possibilities of DFMA and Industry 4.0 to influence the direction of manufacturing.

4 Conclusion

By including manufacturing and assembly needs from the early phases of development, Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA) has become a basic methodological tool for optimizing industrial product design. This overview of the literature has underlined important developments in this sector as well as the possibilities and difficulties influencing its development in an industrial environment undergoing fast transformation.

The study of present DFMA trends has shown its vital importance in improving de-sign processes, enabling a reduction in component count, assembly simplification, and enhanced product dependability. By matching industrial needs with economic, environmental, and social issues, the combination of complementary approaches, including Design for Reliability (DFR), Quality Function Deployment (QFD), and eco-design, strengthens their effectiveness even more.

Although DFMA has several benefits, adoption of it presents several main difficulties. From a technical standpoint, still important concerns are digital tool interoperability, exact assembly tolerance control, and adaption to new additive manufacturing techniques. Additive manufacturing brings difficulties with printed joints, dimensional accuracy, and implementation costs even if it offers chances to lower assembly complexity and improve product performance. Furthermore, including DFMA in Computer-Aided Design (CAD) tools and Product Lifecycle Management (PLM) systems calls for stronger approaches to guarantee a flawless transition between several stages of product development.

Economically speaking, implementing DFMA approaches—especially for small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs)—remains a major obstacle. Although DFMA lowers production costs and speeds time-to-market, over the long run it delivers significant financial gains; yet, its initial deployment phase calls for large expenditures in training, digital tools, and process redesign. One important area of innovation is the creation of new materials specifically fit for the needs of DFMA and additive manufacturing. Adaptive materials could finally replace some conventional mechanical fasteners, therefore improving the performance, durability, and recyclability of products by means of optimal design.

Emphasizing the importance of an integrated approach including digital developments, artificial intelligence, and additive manufacturing, this analysis underlines the main part DFMA plays in changing industrial practices. Research and innovation initiatives must concentrate on enhancing design tools, minimizing implementation costs, and adjusting to new production requirements if we are to guarantee more general and more successful acceptance of DFMA. Engineering, digitization, and material innovation will shape DFMA going forward so that the design and production of more dependable, sustainable, and efficient industrial goods may be enabled.

References

1. G. Formentini, N. Boix Rodríguez, and C. Favi, "Design for manufacturing and assembly methods in the product development process of mechanical products: a systematic literature review," Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol., vol. 120, no. 7–8, pp. 4307–4334, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00170-022-08837-6.
2. S. T. Zaringhalam, M. Khalilzadeh, and O. F. Valilai, "An interactive and integrated framework for collaborative product development in cloud manufacturing using STEP standard-based ontology model," PeerJ Comput. Sci., vol. 9, no. 2025, 2023, doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.1530.
3. S. Yazid, R. Ginting, and N. Panjaitan, "Integration of Design for Manufacture and Assembly with Product Design in Product Design Improvement: A Systematic Literature Review," J. Sist. Tek. Ind., vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 128–136, 2024, doi: 10.32734/jsti.v26i2.13643.
4. C. Favi, M. Mandolini, F. Campi, P. Cicconi, R. Raffaeli, and M. Germani, Design for Manufacturing and Assembly: A Method for Rules Classification, vol. 1. Springer International Publishing, 2021. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-70566-4_56.
5. F. M. Monetti and A. Maffei, Towards the definition of assembly-oriented modular product architectures: a systematic review, vol. 35, no. 2. Springer London, 2024. doi: 10.1007/s00163-023-00427-1.
6. M. Maltauro, E. Vargiu, R. Meneghello, and G. Concheri, "Design for assembly principles applied to deformable parts, a natural frequency based methodology for interfaces design," Int. J. Interact. Des. Manuf., 2024, doi: 10.1007/s12008-024-02087-4.
7. M. Maltauro, G. Passarotto, G. Concheri, and R. Meneghello, "Bridging the gap between design and manufacturing specifications for non-rigid parts using the influence coefficient method," Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol., vol. 127, no. 1–2, pp. 579–597, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s00170-023-11480-4.
8. R. Ginting, A. Ishak, and A. F. Malik, "Product development and design with a combination of design for manufacturing or assembly and quality function deployment: A literature review," AIP Conf. Proc., vol. 2217, no. April, 2020, doi: 10.1063/5.0000739.
9. A. I. Juniani, M. L. Singgih, and P. D. Karningsih, "Design for Manufacturing, Assembly, and Reliability: An Integrated Framework for Product Redesign and Innovation," Designs, vol. 6, no. 5, 2022, doi: 10.3390/designs6050088.
10. F. Campi, C. Favi, M. Germani, and M. Mandolini, "CAD-integrated design for manufacturing and assembly in mechanical design," Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf., vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 282–325, 2022, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2021.1992659.
11. M. Elsouri, J. Gao, C. Simmonds, and N. Martin, "A design for manufacturing methodology using defects knowledge for aerospace product manufacturing," Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B J. Eng. Manuf., vol. 235, no. 11, pp. 1751–1762, 2021, doi: 10.1177/09544054211007642.
12. C. D. Naiju, "DFMA for product designers: A review," Mater. Today Proc., vol. 46, pp. 7473–7478, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2021.01.134.

13. S. I. de Oliveira, M. D. Dos Santos, and A. C. Kieling, "the Integration of Dfma (Design for Manufacturing and Assembly) and Reverse Engineering (Er) Applied To a Landing Gear Redesign," J. Eng. Technol. Ind. Appl., vol. 7, no. 31, pp. 4–10, 2021, doi: 10.5935/jetia.v7i31.775.
14. C. Favi, M. Germani, and M. Mandolini, "A Multi-objective Design Approach to Include Material, Manufacturing and Assembly Costs in the Early Design Phase," Procedia CIRP, vol. 52, pp. 251–256, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2016.07.043.
15. C. Li, R. Wu, and W. Yang, "Optimization and selection of the multi-objective conceptual design scheme for considering product assembly, manufacturing and cost," SN Appl. Sci., vol. 4, no. 4, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s42452-022-04973-6.
16. U. M. Dilberoglu, B. Gharehpapagh, U. Yaman, and M. Dolen, "The Role of Additive Manufacturing in the Era of Industry 4.0," Procedia Manuf., vol. 11, no. June, pp. 545–554, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2017.07.148.
17. A. Shahhoseini, S. Heydari, and S. Pedrammehr, "Manufacturing and Assembly for the Ease of Product Recycling: A Review," Designs, vol. 7, no. 2, 2023, doi: 10.3390/designs7020042.
18. P. H. P. Setti, O. Canciglieri Junior, and C. C. A. Estorilio, "Integrated product development method based on Value Engineering and design for assembly concepts," J. Ind. Inf. Integr., vol. 21, no. October 2020, p. 100199, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jii.2020.100199.
19. K. M. Antony and S. Arunkumar, "DFMA and Sustainability Analysis in Product Design," J. Phys. Conf. Ser., vol. 1455, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1455/1/012028.
20. M. Sauerwein, E. Doubrovski, R. Balkenende, and C. Bakker, "Exploring the potential of additive manufacturing for product design in a circular economy," J. Clean. Prod., vol. 226, pp. 1138–1149, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.108.
21. F. Bouissiere, C. Cuiller, P. E. Dereux, C. Malchair, C. Favi, and G. Formentini, "Conceptual design for assembly in aerospace industry: A method to assess manufacturing and assembly aspects of product architectures," Proc. Int. Conf. Eng. Des. ICED, vol. 2019-Augus, no. AUGUST, pp. 2961–2970, 2019, doi: 10.1017/dsi.2019.303.
22. C. Favi, M. Germani, and M. Mandolini, "Multi-objective conceptual design: An approach to make cost-efficient the design for manufacturing and assembly in the development of complex products," Adv. Mech. Des. Eng. Manuf., pp. 63–71, 2017, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-45781-9_7.
23. M. Nafisi, M. Wiktorsson, and C. Rösiö, "Manufacturing Involvement in New Product Development: An Explorative Case Study in Heavy Automotive Component Assembly," Procedia CIRP, vol. 50, pp. 65–69, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2016.04.201.
24. J. S. Cuellar, G. Smit, D. Plettenburg, and A. Zadpoor, "Additive manufacturing of non-assembly mechanisms," Addit. Manuf., vol. 21, no. January, pp. 150–158, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.addma.2018.02.004.
25. K. Lussenburg, A. Sakes, and P. Breedveld, "Design of non-assembly mechanisms: A state-of-the-art review," Addit. Manuf., vol. 39, no. May 2020, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.addma.2021.101846.
26. H. I. Medellin-Castillo and J. Zaragoza-Siqueiros, "Design and Manufacturing Strategies for Fused Deposition Modelling in Additive Manufacturing: A Review,"

Chinese J. Mech. Eng. (English Ed., vol. 32, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.1186/s10033-019-0368-0.

27. I. Melckenbeeck, S. Burggraeve, B. Van Doninck, J. Vancraen, and A. Rosich, "Optimal assembly sequence based on design for assembly (DFA) rules," Procedia CIRP, vol. 91, no. March, pp. 646–652, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2020.02.223.
28. A. Corrado and W. Polini, "Assembly design in aeronautic field: From assembly jigs to tolerance analysis," Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B J. Eng. Manuf., vol. 231, no. 14, pp. 2652–2663, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0954405416635033.
29. E. Asadollahi-Yazdi, J. Gardan, and P. Lafon, "Integrated Design in Additive Manufacturing Based on Design for Manufacturing," World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol. Int. J. Mech. Aerospace, Ind. Mechatron. Manuf. Eng., vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1044–1051, 2016.
30. Y. Oh, C. Zhou, and S. Behdad, "Part decomposition and assembly-based (Re) design for additive manufacturing: A review," Addit. Manuf., vol. 22, no. March, pp. 230–242, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.addma.2018.04.018.